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1. Introduction

In the polar regions, it is difficult to place current weather and climate trends in a long-term climatological perspective, primarily because the meteorological records there are limited in time and space in comparison with other regions. The low spatial density of polar meteorological data makes it challenging to separate local changes from regional or even continental-scale changes. Reanalyses, which assimilate all available observations into physically consistent, regularly-spaced and comprehensive datasets, can be especially helpful in these latitudes. The timeliness of such efforts is especially pronounced given the recently-observed dramatic changes in Arctic land ice, sea ice, and permafrost regions.

In response to the Arctic’s importance for climate change, the Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) project inspired extensive, interdisciplinary, multi-scale studies of high northern latitudes (Overland et al. 2003). To integrate observations and modeling efforts into a comprehensive picture of the regional climate and synoptic meteorology, SEARCH supported efforts toward a multi-year reanalysis of the Arctic that would employ all available remote-sensing and in-situ data. The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) provided seed money to lay the groundwork for an Arctic System Reanalysis (ASR).

A new physically-consistent integration of Arctic data will be achieved through high-resolution reanalysis of the northern high-latitude region, spanning poleward from the headwaters of the northward flowing rivers. The ASR is a collaboration of the Ohio State University’s Byrd Polar Research Center (BPRC) and Ohio Supercomputer Center (OSC) along with the National Center Atmospheric Research (NCAR), the University of Colorado, the University of Illinois, and the University of Alaska Fairbanks. The production phase of the ASR is funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation as an International Polar Year (IPY 2007-2009) project. The ASR will provide a high resolution description in space (~10 km) and time (3 h) of the coupled atmosphere-sea ice-land surface system of the Arctic. Ingested historical data streams, along with measurements of the physical components of the Arctic Observing Network being developed as part of IPY will drive the ASR. Gridded output fields from the ASR will serve a variety of uses such as drivers for coupled ice-ocean, land surface and other models, and will offer a focal point for coordinated model inter-comparison efforts. The ASR will permit detailed reconstructions of the Arctic system’s variability and change, thereby complementing efforts of the global reanalyses. The project will also shape the legacy observing network of the IPY by providing a vehicle for observing system sensitivity studies of the Sustained Arctic Observing Network (SAON). To achieve its goals, the ASR will require an Arctic-friendly atmospheric numerical model with state-of-the-art dynamics.

2. Brief Summary of the ASR

The first generation ASR will span the years 2000-2010 including the IPY. The ASR will be based on a polar-optimized version of the state-of-the-art Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF,
Skamarock et al. 2006) model and the WRF data assimilation capabilities being developed. Various input data consideration issues, reanalysis verification and reanalysis output tasks are being addressed by the University of Colorado and the University of Illinois. The computing platforms is a linux cluster of the OSC. The Arctic model development is being carried out by Polar Meteorology Group of the BPRC. Data assimilation capabilities for WRF (WRF-Var, Barker et al. 2004) and ASR are developed by the WRF-Var Development Team of NCAR’s Mesoscale and Microscale Meteorology Division (MMM). The NCAR MMM is also the main portal for the distribution and developmental organization of the Advanced Research WRF (WRF-ARW). The current data assimilation plan for ASR is to use 3DVAR.

The ASR encompasses a broad surface domain within the high-resolution region inside the boundaries. The planned ASR high-resolution grid shown in Fig. 1 includes all of the watersheds of the northward flowing rivers emptying into the Arctic Ocean. A lower resolution outer grid may be used to feed the high resolution domain. The third-generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis (ERA-Interim) or the U.S. National Centers for Environmental Prediction Global Forecast System (GFS) will be used to drive the ASR. To treat the Arctic land regions, the ASR will also include optimized high-resolution land data assimilation (HRLDAS) capabilities. Current work at NCAR on the unified Noah land surface model (LSM), a feature within the WRF model (Chen and Dudhia 2001; Skamarock et al. 2006), includes HRLDAS development for the ASR. This involves the blending of atmospheric and land-surface observations with the LSM, with the goal of providing a long-term evolution of soil and vegetation features, the surface hydrologic cycle, and the surface energy cycle. The HRLDAS runs off-line from WRF in between interacting with WRF at intervals of a few hours. Additionally, a previous improvement to the Penman evaporation in the Noah LSM resulted in reduced sublimation under stable conditions and a reduced specific humidity bias.
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3. Polar WRF

Earlier work by the Polar Meteorology Group of the BPRC resulted in a polar-optimized version of the 5th generation Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5). Tests of “Polar MM5” showed that the model achieved a much improved performance for both Arctic and Antarctic regions (e.g., Bromwich et al. 2001). To advance this work into the future, a polar-optimized version of WRF-ARW has been very recently developed by the Polar Meteorology Group. “Polar WRF” will serve as the base model for the ASR, and will require evaluations and optimizations for boundary layer parameterization, cloud physics, snow surface

Figure 1. Tentative domains for the Arctic System Reanalysis.

Figure 2. Domain of Polar WRF simulations of the North Atlantic and Greenland. The solid blue line shows the mean boundary of sea ice during December.
physics and sea ice treatment, analogous to the methods used to develop Polar MM5 (Bromwich et al. 2001). The model is undergoing tests for the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, the Arctic Ocean, and Arctic land environments.

4. Arctic results with Polar WRF

Testing and development work for Polar WRF began with simulations for ice sheet surface conditions using the Greenland area domain shown in Fig. 2 with 24-km horizontal resolution. The blue curves in Fig. 2 show the December locations of the southern sea ice boundary. A detailed description of the simulations and results is presented by Hines and Bromwich (2008). The winter month December 2002, and the summer month June 2001 are simulated in a series of integrations initialized daily at 0000 UTC. The initial 12 hours are taken as model spin-up time for the atmospheric hydrology and boundary layer processes. The output from hours 12-36 of the simulations is connected into a month-long representation at 3 hour intervals. The results motivated several improvements to Polar WRF, especially to the Noah LSM and the snowpack treatment. Best results are achieved the use of the modified Noah LSM, the Mellor-Yamada-Janji atmosperic boundary layer formulation, and WRF single-moment 5-class microphysics scheme.

The next round of testing was over the Arctic Ocean using a western Arctic grid with 25-km resolution (Bromwich et al. 2009). The 141×111 domain for the simulations is displayed in Fig. 3. Crucial new component is the modified ocean surface treatment that now allows for fractional sea ice between 2 to 100% coverage. The fractional sea ice capability is now an available option with the standard release of WRF 3.1 during April 2009. The atmospheric surface layer routine is called for all horizontal grid points in the domain, including separate calls for the ice and open-water components of pack ice grid points. Over the oceans, the LSM is called only for the ice portion of pack ice grid points. The new simulations also include the fully-two-moment ice and liquid water microphysics of Morrison et al. (2005).

Figure 4 shows the fraction of sea ice for ocean grid points with model domain during August 1998, when the Arctic open water fraction is considerably larger than during most other months. For this round of simulations, the initial spin-up time for the simulation is increased to 24 hours, and the model output from hours 24-45 is combined into the month-long fields. Arctic conditions are simulated for the selected months: January 1998, June 1998, and August 1998 representing mid-winter, early summer and late summer conditions, respectively from the Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic (SHEBA, Persson et al. 2002; Uttal et al. 2002) observational study. High quality observations are available for many atmospheric and oceanic fields during SHEBA (e.g., Persson et al. 2002). Relevant locations of Ice Station SHEBA are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Over the Arctic pack ice the ice surface conditions change greatly over the course of late spring, then summer, and finally into Autumn (Perovich et al.
2007). Based upon in-situ and remote-sensing observations, the albedo of sea ice is specified as a function of time and latitude for June and as a function of time for August. Details are presented in Bromwich et al. (2009).

Simulation results are compared with the observations of the drifting ice station SHEBA in the Arctic ice pack. The Polar WRF simulations show good agreement with observations for all three months. Figure 5 shows time series of wind speed observations at SHEBA interpolated to 10 m above ground level versus output of the Polar WRF simulations. The model appears to be a good tool for studies of the atmospheric climate over the Arctic Ocean. Additional testing of Polar WRF is ongoing over Arctic land (Hines et al. 2009; Wilson et al. 2009) and the Antarctic continent (Otieno et al. 2009). Work is also progressing on the data assimilation, land surface modeling, and data acquisition capabilities of the Arctic System Reanalysis.

5. Timetable for the Arctic System Reanalysis

A low-resolution test of the ASR is currently underway for the December 2007 fields. By September 2009, full-resolution tests of the December 2007 (winter) and September 2008 (seasonal minimum Arctic sea ice) cases will be performed. By September 2010, an initial full-resolution test of the IPY period (2006-2009) will be performed. The finished-product production of the 2000-2010 ASR will be completed by September 2011.
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Figure 5. Time series of 10-m wind speed (m s\(^{-1}\)) from Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic (SHEBA) observations and Polar WRF simulations for January, June and August 1998.